

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,130	01/09/2001	Benjamin Englander	2200600.131-US1	6441
	7590 04/29/200 E/NEW YORK	EXAMINER		
399 PARK AV	ENUE	NGUYEN, THONG Q		
NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2872		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2000	EL ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com sharon.matthews@wilmerhale.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/757,130	ENGLANDER, BENJAMIN
Examiner	Art Unit
Thong Nguyen	2872

	Thong Nguyen	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ers on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following n application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ci periods: 	he same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.					
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t 	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		20(a) and the engrapsist	outonoion foo			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set (orth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compli	ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. I The proposed amendment(s) flide after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or			ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	 See attached Notice of Non-Cor 	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. Mean For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 9-15.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Thong Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2872				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of the claims 10-15 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph for the reason listed in page 3 of the Office action of 11/17/08 and the rejections of claims 10-15 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for the reasons listed in pages 4-6 of the mentioned Office action. However, the reply has not overcome the rejection of the claims 10-15 under 35 USC 112, second office action.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the device as claimed is not patentable with respect to the applied art. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Since the affidavits filed after a final action have not been entered, thus applicant's arguments related to the affidavits have not been considered, thus applicant's arguments related to the affidavits have not been considered.